





# **M&E: Framework** – Project Rubric

This tool is included in the Participant Guide.

### **Criteria/Grade: Correctness & Clarity (15%)**

<b>0-2.9</b> Non-existent / Very Poor	The text is full of grammar and spelling mistakes. It is misleading, inconsistent and / or unintelligible.
<b>3-4.9</b> Unsatisfactory / Poor	The text is not fully clear. Grammar and spelling mistakes make it difficult to read interpret.
<b>5-6.9</b> Good	The text is correct with only a few minor grammar or spelling mistakes. The descriptions are clear and reading smooth.
<b>7-8.9</b> Very Good	The text is correct with no grammar or spelling mistakes. Sentences are well constructed, arguments clear, and reading is fluid.
9-10 Excellent	Grammar is impeccable. The text uses a rich and appropriate vocabulary, describing the elements in an appropriate way. Reading is both pleasant and informative.







## **Criteria/Grade: Completeness (15%)**

<b>0-2.9</b> Non-existent / Very Poor	Very few or even none of the results chain components are described. There is no data quality assessment of the indicators.
<b>3-4.9</b> Unsatisfactory / Poor	Only some elements of the results chain are described. There is no data quality assessment of the indicators.
<b>5-6.9</b> Good	All but a few minor elements of the results chain are described. Data quality is assessed for at least one outcome indicator, or for two non-outcome indicators.
<b>7-8.9</b> Very Good	All the elements of the results chain are described. Data quality is assessed for one outcome indicator, or for two non-outcome indicators.
<b>9-10</b> Excellent	All the elements of the results chain are fully described. Data quality is assessed for at least two indicators, one of which is an outcome indicator.







## **Criteria/Grade: Structure and Consistency (35%)**

<b>0-2.9</b> Non-existent / Very Poor	The M&E Framework has fewer than 40% of it's elements in their logical order. The descriptions of the components are not aligned with each other at all.
<b>3-4.9</b> Unsatisfactory / Poor	The M&E Framework has fewer than 60% of its elements in their logical order. The descriptions of the components are poorly aligned with each other.
<b>5-6.9</b> Good	The M&E Framework includes at least 60% of its elements in their logical order. The descriptions of the components are generally aligned with each other, with only some minor inconsistencies.
<b>7-8.9</b> Very Good	The M&E Framework includes at least 80% of its elements in their logical order. The descriptions of the components are mostly aligned with each other.
9-10 Excellent	The M&E Framework includes all elements in their logical order. The descriptions of the components are perfectly aligned with each other.







## **Criteria/Grade: Accuracy (35%)**

<b>0-2.9</b> Non-existent / Very Poor	Very few or none of the components of the M&E Framework are formulated according to the definition of each element of the results chain and the data quality assessment items.
<b>3-4.9</b> Unsatisfactory / Poor	Less than a half of the components of the M&E Framework are formulated according to the definition of each element of the results chain and the data quality assessment items.
<b>5-6.9</b> Good	Only between one-half and two-thirds of the components of the M&E Framework are formulated according to the definition of each element of the results chain and the data quality assessment items.
<b>7-8.9</b> Very Good	All but few components of the M&E Framework are formulated in close accordance with the definition of each element of the results chain and the data quality assessment items.
<b>9-10</b> Excellent	All components of the M&E Framework are formulated in close accordance with the definition of each element of the results chain and the data quality assessment items.